1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3	e .		
4	_	2009 - 2:35 p.m.	
5	Concord, New	Hampsnire	
6	200	DG 09-038 NHPUC MAY26'09 AM 9:40	
7	RE:	NEW HAMPSHIRE GAS CORPORATION:	
8		Notice of Intent to File Rate Schedules. (Prehearing conference & Hearing on	
9		Temporary Rates)	
10			
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Graham J. Morrison	
12			
13	g	Connie Fillion, Clerk	
14			
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. New Hampshire Gas Corporation:	
16		Meabh Purcell, Esq. (Dewey & LeBoeuf)	
17		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:	
18		Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate	
19			
20		Reptg. PUC Staff: Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.	
21		Edward N. Damon, Esq.	
22			
23	Cou	art Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
2.4			

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS: KAREN L. ZINK	
5	Direct examination by Ms. Purcell	6
6	Cross-examination by Mr. Traum	14
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Fossum	15
8	Interrogatories by Chrmn. Getz	20
9		
10	WITNESS: STEPHEN P. FRINK	
11	Direct examination by Mr. Fossum	22
12	Cross-examination by Mr. Traum	27
13	Interrogatories by Chairman Getz	28
14	Cross-examination by Ms. Purcell	29
15		
16	STATEMENTS REGARDING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE BY:	
17	Mr. Fossum	30
18	Ms. Purcell	30
19		
20	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	
21	Mr. Traum	31
22	Mr. Fossum	32
23	Ms. Purcell	32
24		

1		
2		
3		EXHIBITS
4	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.
5	1	Petition for Rate Increase filing 8 (03-31-09)
6		(03-31-09)
7	2	Direct Testimony of Stephen P. Frink 23 in Support of Temporary Rates
8		(04-20-09)
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDINGS

2 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good afternoon. 3 And, we're back on the record again, this time in docket 4 DG 09-038. On April 1, 2009, New Hampshire Gas 5 Corporation made a distribution rate filing requesting 6 permission to implement new permanent distribution rates, 7 implement temporary rates, replace current tariff pages 8 and mitigate the annual impact of the proposed increase by 9 being allowed to implement the rate increase 10 incrementally. The Company proposes permanent 11 distribution rates that are designed to produce an 12 increase of \$423,806 in annual revenues, representing a 13 42 percent increase compared to current rates. And, the 14 Company also asked for a temporary rate increase designed 15 to produce \$69,995 in additional annual revenues. 16 order suspending the tariffs and scheduling a prehearing 17 conference and a temporary rate hearing was issued on 18 April 2nd.

Let's take appearances please.

MS. PURCELL: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. Meabh Purcell, Dewey & LeBoeuf, Boston,

22 representing the New Hampshire Gas Corporation.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon.

CMSR. MORRISON: Good afternoon.

24

23

19

20

1	MR. TRAUM: Good afternoon, Mr.
2	Chairman, Commissioner. Ken Traum, representing the
3	Office of Consumer Advocate.
4	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon.
5	CMSR. MORRISON: Good afternoon.
6	MR. FOSSUM: And, good afternoon.
7	Matthew Fossum, along with Edward Damon, Stephen Frink,
8	and Robert Wyatt, on behalf of Staff.
9	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon.
10	CMSR. MORRISON: Good afternoon.
11	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Purcell, are you
12	ready to proceed?
13	MS. PURCELL: Yes, I am. I have the
14	return of service.
15	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
16	MS. PURCELL: And, Ms. Zink will be
17	testifying on the temporary rates, but she would also like
18	to make an opening statement. So, she could either make
19	the statement from here or take the stand?
20	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, why don't we do it
21	all up at the stand.
22	(Whereupon Karen L. Zink was duly sworn
23	and cautioned by the Court Reporter.)
24	KAREN L. ZINK, SWORN

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. PURCELL:

1

3

4

7

8

24

- Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Zink. Could you please state your full name and your business address for the record.
- 5 A. Karen Zink, 115 Cheshire Road, Pittsfield,
 6 Massachusetts 01201.
 - Q. Thank you. And, do you have a statement that you'd like to make before we commence your direct testimony?
- 9 Α. Yes, I would. Thank you. Good afternoon, 10 Commissioners. New Hampshire Gas Corporation has filed 11 a base rate case to increase its total annual 12 distribution revenues \$423,806. Although, on a 13 percentage basis, this increase represents a 14 42.15 percent increase, coupled with lower gas prices, 15 the change would result in a distribution rate decrease 16 of 24 percent for a residential Non-FPO customer and a 17 34 percent decrease for a commercial -- for a 18 commercial Non-FPO customer. New Hampshire Gas is also 19 seeking authorization to implement temporary rates 20 through a completion of this proceeding in the amount 21 of \$69,995, which is consistent with its current level 22 of deferred revenues for the most recent period, May 23 2008 through April 2009.

{DG 09-038} [Re: PHC/Temporary Rates] {04-21-09}

The primary reason for the proposed rate

[WITNESS: Zink]

case is that New Hampshire Gas's current rates are insufficient to support its operations and maintenance expenses and its own ongoing capital expenditures. A revenue increase of \$423,806 would allow the Company to earn a fair return and to continue to invest in capital improvements that are necessary to operate a reliable and safe distribution system.

As explained in my testimony, to mitigate the impact on customers, the Company would be willing to discuss a multiyear rate plan to spread the proposed rate increase over a longer period. However, regardless of the term over which the rate increase may be distributed, the requested level of rates will not be sufficient to allow the Company to achieve its requested rate of return, due to inflation, capital expenditures, and limited opportunity for growth.

New Hampshire Gas looks forward to working with the Staff and the OCA on this matter and to respond to discovery requests. In the meantime, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission rule on the Company's request for temporary rates as soon as possible. Thank you.

Q. Ms. Zink, are you the same Karen L. Zink who submitted a filing, including testimony on permanent rates and on

8 [WITNESS: Zinkl 1 temporary rates, as part of a filing dated March 31st, 2 2009? 3 Α. Yes, it is. 4 I'd like to show you a copy of the document and ask Q. 5 that you identify this. 6 This is the proposal that we made on March 31st, 2009 7 for a rate increase for New Hampshire Gas Corporation. 8 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. Commissioners, 9 I'd like to mark this as "New Hampshire Gas Exhibit 1". 10 It's the Company's "Petition for Rate Increase" filing, 11 consisting of a series of tabs labeled accordingly. 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Close enough. 13 MS. PURCELL: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN GETZ: It will be so marked. 15 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. 16 (The document, as described, was 17 herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for 18 identification.) 19 BY MS. PURCELL: 20

- Ms. Zink, I'd like you to turn to the tab marked Q. 21 "Temporary Rate Filing". Is this your prefiled direct 22 testimony in support of the temporary rates in this 23 case?
- 24 Yes, it is. Α.

- Q. Was this testimony prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?
- A. Yes, it was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

24

- Q. And, do you have any corrections or changes you would like to make at this time to your temporary rate testimony?
- 7 I do. On Page 3 of the prefiled testimony, two Α. 8 corrections need to be made, one on Line 1 and one on 9 Line 4. The Company had mistakenly requested that the 10 temporary rates take effect on May 1st, 2009 on a 11 service rendered basis. When, in fact, it meant to 12 make the request on a bills rendered basis, as the 13 Company has done for its CGA filings, in order to avoid 14 customer confusion, etcetera. So, we would request 15 that the word "service" be changed to "bills". And, on 16 the Line 4, the Company made a statement that "the 17 temporary rate factor of 0.0528 would be added to the headblock for each rate class. 18 When, in fact, we are 19 proposing that it be added to "all blocks for each rate 20 There would be no change to the customer class". 21 charge, but all of the blocks within a distribution 22 rate would be adjusted by the "0.0528", as presented on 23 the supporting schedule, Attachment C.
 - Q. Okay. So, just to be clear, the word change on Line 4

of Page 3 would be the words "0.0528 added to", strike

"the head" and insert "all", and pluralize "block"?

[WITNESS:

Zinkl

A. Correct.

3

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Were there any other corrections or changes?
- 6 A. No, there wasn't.
- Q. And, if I were to ask you the questions in your prefiled testimony today, would your answers be substantially the same as those set forth therein?
- 10 A. Yes, they would be.
- 11 Q. And, you accept your prefiled testimony as your sworn testimony in this proceeding?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Thank you. Ms. Zink, would you please briefly summarize the Company's request for temporary rates.
- 16 Α. Sure. In the Company's last rate filing, the way that 17 the filing worked was that the Company was able to 18 reflect its rates as if they had been in effect the day 19 that the new rates went into effect. But, in order to 20 mitigate bill impacts to customers, if the recovery 21 amount of a deferred revenue is going to be greater 22 than \$100,000, the Company was to recover those 23 deferred revenues over a 36-month period. In fact, the 24 deferred revenue balance was greater than \$100,000, in

fact, it was closer to \$200,000. And, those deferred revenues were recovered over a three year period, which will end on April 30th. The most recent amount that the Company would be recovering for deferred revenues was the amount of \$69,995, as reflected on Attachment A of my prefiled testimony. What the Company is requesting is that the 69,995 that the customers are already bearing in their bills be the amount of the temporary rates, so that there will be a zero bill impact to the customer, but will allow the Company to continue to recover those revenues, so that not to impact their O&M or their capital expenditures and just their operations in general.

- Q. Thank you. And, Ms. Zink, have you had an opportunity to review the testimony submitted by Mr. Frink on behalf of Staff in this proceeding?
- A. Yes, I did. Just prior to this hearing today, unfortunately. I was not in the office yesterday.

 Massachusetts, many offices were closed for Patriots

 Day. And, unfortunately, I could not read a PDF on my Blackberry. But I did just read the testimony, and so I am aware of what's in there.
- Q. Okay. And, do you recall Staff has expressed a concern with the estimated rate case expense of close to

\$100,000 that the Company has included in its filing. Would you comment, briefly comment or respond to that concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α. The \$100,000, the Company is hoping that it's very conservative in it's estimate. This is the first time that Berkshire Gas has worked directly with New Hampshire Gas on behalf of a rate filing. We will have allocated costs from Berkshire Gas employees, such as myself, that do provide support for New Hampshire, as well as legal fees, and other charges that were in One other requirement we had was to update an LNG study that had been done a number of years ago, and we were estimating the cost for that. And, we know there will be other expenditures, transcript costs, copying costs, those kinds of things. We are very hopeful that that is a conservative number. And, as was stated in my testimony, that number will be fully reconcilable. So, I would propose that, throughout the course of discussions, we can update you with where expenses are to date, so we can get an idea of where we're going. But we always do the best we can to mitigate costs to New Hampshire. We're very understanding of the fact that they have a small customer base to spread the costs over.

```
Q. Thank you. And, Ms. Zink, Staff has also recommended that the 30 day requirement should be waived, in rule 1203.02(a), with respect to the customer notice. But that the other notice requirements pursuant to the rules should be complied with. Is New Hampshire Gas agreeable to this recommendation?
```

A. Yes. We are fine with that request. And, we would propose that we will either put a bill insert into the next billing cycle or prepare a letter to send out directly to customers, whichever is going to be less costly and most efficient.

MS. PURCELL: Thank you. I have nothing further at this time for Ms. Zink. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Traum.

MR. TRAUM: Thank you, sir. First, I guess I'd like to just make an opening statement, if that's appropriate? Thank you. The OCA recognizes this Company's unique situation, in terms of capacity constraints and competitive pricing constraints. So, at this time, we're viewing our participation as somewhat limited. And, as we had stated in our letter of participation, we're, at this point, planning to focus on the Company's declining block rate structure, energy efficiency programs, the Company's cost of capital, the

[WITNESS: Zink]

1 LNG facility, and depreciation. But things may crop up as 2 the case proceeds.

With that, I just have a couple of questions for Ms. Zink.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TRAUM:

- Q. First, with regards to the notice to customers, am I correct that customers have had notice in the sense that you have already run a publication in the local newspaper?
- 11 A. That is correct.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, with regards to your change on the first line of Page 3, where the temporary rates will take effect on a "bills rendered" basis, does that coincide with the expiration of the Deferred Revenue Surcharge so that no customers will, in effect, be -- see a double impact on their bills?
 - A. That is correct. The Deferred Revenue Surcharge expires on April 30th. And, these rates would go into effect May 1st. So, essentially, they would be paying the same.
 - Q. And, by "the same", right now your proposal is for an equal cents per therm on the volumetric block?
- 24 A. Correct.

- Q. And, was the Deferred Revenue Surcharge recovered in the same fashion?
- A. Essentially, it was as a -- it was a separate volumetric charge on the bill. So, it was a per unit charge, multiplied times whatever volumes the customer would use. So, essentially, it's the same thing, it just wasn't included in the distribution rates. It was a separate surcharge.

MR. TRAUM: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. FOSSUM:

11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Fossum.

13 BY MR. FOSSUM:

Q. Staying with the notice issue for just a moment, is the Company -- I'm sorry. Do you have an estimate, does the Company have an estimate of what it would cost to do a bill insert versus a mailing? Which, I mean, you said you were amenable to either, but do you have an estimate of what either would cost?

Thank you.

A. I don't have any with me at this moment. Certainly, with a direct mail, it would be -- we could print a letter out directly at our office, so it would be the cost of printing and envelope and stamps, which I would imagine is probably going to be more expensive than

just coming up with something that can be put into the bills, it's a matter of getting it put into the bills, and making sure it's the right size and it will fit in there. Again, we will try to keep it as minimal a cost as possible, if it's something that the Staff would request that we do.

- Q. Now, when the waiver was initially requested, I believe there was a notification saying that foregoing that notice would save about \$4,000. Where did the "4,000" figure come from?
- A. Again, we were looking at what would we, in fact, have to provide as notice to customers. If you've got your printing costs, you've got your mailing costs, you've got the cost of the stamps, you've got, you know, a thousand customers, are you going to have to put things out on a website somewhere. Are there, again, being very conservative, it could be much less than that, and we would do everything we could to keep the costs down. But we're trying not to have to incur any costs that we feel are not absolutely necessary.

At the same time, I understand the position of Mr. Frink. And, we would be fine with including something, either as a bill insert or a letter, whichever one is least costly.

- Q. And, would the Company also be willing to work with the Consumer Affairs Division to develop whatever ad or notice would go out?
- A. Absolutely.

- Q. Thank you. Now, the Company has said that it's, and as you pointed out in the change to your testimony, an exception to the rule that generally requires billing on a service rendered basis. And, on what basis are you seeking that exemption?
 - A. Well, historically, New Hampshire Gas customers are accustomed to rate changes on a bills rendered basis, and a change in policy may result in customer confusion. Also, the current billing system is not designed to accommodate changes to billing on a service rendered basis. And, that would necessitate modifying or replacing the billing system at a substantial cost. So, as we have done in all of our CGA filings, we would be making the same request.
 - Q. Now, when you first -- when you were making your opening statement, I believe it was, you had said that the recent -- somewhat recent drop in commodity costs would actually result in an overall decrease for customers. The decrease, it's not a decrease, just to be clear, it's not a decrease in the distribution rate,

[WITNESS: Zink]

but a decrease in the overall gas bill, the commodity plus distribution rates?

- A. That's correct. The distribution rates would be increasing, but the cost of gas would be going down by a greater amount. So, the overall bill would be a decrease.
- Q. All right. Thank you. Now, just a couple of last things. In the merger settlement approved back in 2007, the Company had agreed to a couple of things, maintain eight full-time employees at its operations center in Keene, and average annual capital expenditures of no less than 275,000. Did the Company meet those commitments for 2008?
- 14 A. Yes. The Company did meet those commitments for 2008.
 - Q. And, specifically, in regard to the capital expenditures, about what were the capital -- what was the capital spending for 2008, if you happen to know?
 - A. I don't have it in front of me. I believe it was greater than \$300,000 last year. But I would have to get back to you on that.
 - Q. Now, under the proposal for the temporary rates, is the Company going to be able to continue to meet those requirements?
- 24 A. The Company has budgeted for 2009 to spend \$275,000 in

capital.

- Q. Thank you. And, over the past year, what has -- has the Company added customers or lost customers, stayed about the same? About what's the customer load as compared to last year?
- A. There may be a slight increase, but there -- they have minimal growth opportunities up there, partly because of the competition, compared to alternative fuels, which is why we're trying to work and we feel a long term rate plan may be better for customers than immediately increasing distribution rates. But they have had some growth, but it's been minimal growth.
- Q. And, has the Company undertaken any specific measures to increase its customer base that you're aware of?
- A. The Company is out there seeing what opportunities they may have for conversions of customers from alternative fuels or maybe a customer that's on an interruptible rate that may be willing to go to a firm rate. But, again, from a competitive standpoint, we have to look at what type of customer would make sense in their mind to switch to an alternate fuel that may be more expensive than what they're already paying today. So, again, we see limited opportunities from that perspective. We do see some growth. We do definitely

[WITNESS: Zink]

have customers that contact the Company. And, as long as they meet the rate of return that makes sense for all customers, because you're not going to add a customer that you're going to lose money on, you're only going to add a customer that is going to provide a benefit for the entire system. We will look at that, but they're -- it's minimal. Keene itself is not a large growth opportunity anyway, so --

- Q. So, just to be clear that I understand what you're saying is, basically, if somebody contacts the Company, then you may add them, but there's no -- the Company's not, as a general matter, reaching out to grow its customer base?
- A. We do not have a full-fledged marketing program, if you will. That's correct.

MR. FOSSUM: Okay. Thank you. That's all. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon,

Ms. Zink. Let me just make sure I understand some of the moving parts.

BY CHAIRMAN GETZ:

Q. So, you're seeking to essentially replace the Deferred

Revenue Surcharge with the same magnitude of a

temporary increase in the distribution rates, is that

correct?

- A. That is correct.
 - Q. And, the surcharge will terminate at the end of this month. So, with a \$69,000, roughly, of temporary rate increase, would that be about a 7 percent increase in the distribution rate? Is my math correct on that?
 - A. One second.
 - Q. And, I was just looking at, if you're asking for a 42 percent increase in distribution revenues, and that was \$423,000 --
 - A. There was a response in the Company's cost of gas filing, DG 09-057, there was a data request that asked what the impact would be for temporary rates. So, it was NHPUC 1-6. And, on the attachment for that, which shows what the Deferred Revenue Surcharge would have been on the upper part of the schedule, and then it would be zero in the lower part of the schedule, but you would have new rates going into effect. It looks there, the seasonal percentage change was 4.6 percent. But that would just be for the summer period. We didn't forecast going into the winter. So, probably your assumption is correct. It would be somewhere around there.

{DG 09-038} [Re: PHC/Temporary Rates] {04-21-09}

All right.

Anything

CHAIRMAN GETZ:

[WITNESS: Zink] 1 else, Ms. Purcell? 2 MS. PURCELL: I have nothing more for 3 Ms. Zink. 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Then, you're 5 excused. Thank you. 6 WITNESS ZINK: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Mr. Fossum. 8 MR. FOSSUM: I call Stephen Frink. 9 (Whereupon Stephen P. Frink was duly 10 sworn and cautioned by the Court 11 Reporter.) 12 STEPHEN P. FRINK, SWORN 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. FOSSUM: 15 Good afternoon. Could you just state your name and 16 business and place of business for the record please. 17 My name is Stephen Frink. I'm the Assistant Director Α. 18 of the Gas and Water Division here at the Public 19 Utilities Commission, at 21 South Fruit Street. 20 And, you have filed prefiled testimony in this matter? 21 Yes, I did. Α. 22 And, you recognize this? 23 Α. Yes, I do. 24 Q. Is that your prefiled testimony?

[WITNESS: Frink]

1 A. It is.

Q. And, do you have any changes, additions or alterations to it at this time?

A. No, I don't.

5 MR. FOSSUM: I'd like to submit this 6 testimony as "Exhibit 2".

CHAIRMAN GETZ: It will be so marked.

(The document, as described, was herewith marked as **Exhibit 2** for identification.)

BY MR. FOSSUM:

- Q. Could you just briefly summarize your testimony for us please.
- A. Yes. My testimony addresses three issues, the temporary rates, the waiver of the filing requirements, and the waiver of the notice requirement. And, it also gives the Staff's initial position regarding the Company's petition on permanent rates. Regarding the temporary rates, the Commission supports the Company's proposal. It's a bit of a misnomer to call it an "increase", because what it does is it replaces the Deferred Revenue Surcharge, which expires the day before. So, in essence, the revenues remain flat and customers will not see an impact.

As you heard from Ms. Zink, the Company was able to meet its operating and investment goals during the prior year. And, it is assumed, with the revenues remaining at that level, they will be able to meet the 2009 operating and investment requirements.

Also, temporary rates are reconcilable with the permanent rates. So, if it turns out that the Company does need more higher rates and a higher revenue requirement, then they would have the opportunity to get that revenue when the decision comes out. At this point, we're not recommending a reconciliation. It's a company's right. We've had proceedings where the Company has forgone that right, but that's something that will be decided as part of the permanent rate case.

Regarding the filing of -- regarding the request for a waiver of filing requirements, the Staff recommends conditional approval. We don't want to see them incur any unnecessary expenses, if some of those wouldn't help in Staff's or the Commission's review. There are others that may be helpful in that review or critical to that review, that's where the conditioned approval comes in. If Staff or the OCA or any intervenors were to request, found that those

requirements were necessary to the review, then we would ask to have that reopened and opportunity to get those studies. But, again, we're going to try and avoid that, but we do want to reserve that right.

As far as waiver of the notice requirement, it seems to us that a bill insert could be done at a minimal cost, and it would ensure all customers would be on notice. Because this was an expedited proceeding, due to the efforts to get the temporary rates in place at the same time that the Deferred Revenue Surcharge was going away, so there wouldn't be a fluctuation in rates, there wasn't — there was only a limited time for customers to intervene. And, if a bill insert is included, then it's likely that there could be customers that would want to intervene or file comments. And, so, it was Staff's recommendation, it's in my testimony, that, in that bill insert, they provide some additional time for intervention.

Regarding the Staff's initial position on the proposed rate increase, it's Staff's position that the return on equity of 11.25 and the overall rate of return of 9.625 is too high. Both in what the Commission has been granting to other New Hampshire

utilities, and particularly in light of New Hampshire
Gas's situation, where they're being regulated, in
large part, by the competition. And, the proposed rate
increase could price New Hampshire Gas out of the
market. And, in their last request for a rate increase
that was approved by the Commission, they were
certainly well aware of that. They didn't ask for -they asked in their filing simply to be able to break
even. They requested a zero return. And, so, we're
not advocating a zero return, but something less than
what's been proposed.

There were very few adjustments made in their permanent rate filing. Really, outside of weather normalization, I don't know that there are any adjustments. And, it could be a review of, once we get to discovery and a thorough review of the books and records, their situation may not be as desire as we expect. So, we'll keep that in mind.

And, as far as the rate case expenses, the estimate we heard is a conservative estimate. We certainly hope so. With a \$100,000 estimate, and a thousand customers, that's a heavy load to bear for customers. And, we will, the Staff, and the Company has said, we'll do all we can to keep those expenses

Frink] [WITNESS: 1 down. But we do have to be cognizant of the fact that 2 this is a substantial rate increase that's been 3 proposed, and there's a lot to be considered. And, 4 that completes my summary of my testimony. 5 Q. Just one question. Do you have an opinion on the 6 Company's request to continue with a bills rendered 7 billing system? 8 We have supported that waiver in this afternoon's Α. Yes. 9 cost of gas proceeding, and we support it in this 10 temporary rate proceeding. 11 Thank you. That's all I MR. FOSSUM: 12 have. CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Traum. 13 14 MR. TRAUM: Thank you. Just because I 15 have to ask you a question, Mr. Frink. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. TRAUM: 18 Will Staff be conducting an audit of the rate filing, 19 of the test year? 20 Α. Staff will be conducting a rate filing. We don't have 21 a proposed procedural schedule at this time. We have 22 discussed it with the Company. That schedule certainly 23 anticipates having a final audit report before

{DG 09-038} [Re: PHC/Temporary Rates] {04-21-09}

testimony of the Staff testimony and intervenor

24

[WITNESS: Frink] 1 testimony is to be filed. 2 Thank you. That's all I MR. TRAUM: 3 have. 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, Ms. Purcell? 5 MS. PURCELL: I have nothing. Thank 6 you. 7 BY CHAIRMAN GETZ: 8 Well, this may be a real inside baseball question. 9 And, the difference between "service rendered" and 10 "bills rendered" here, since, you know, it's what the, 11 prior to May 1, the sum of the distribution rate and 12 the surcharge, after, on May 1, that will be the same 13 amount. So, is all that's changing is what's going to 14 be -- there's going to be one less line on the bill on 15 May 1, but the effect on customers will be the same? 16 Α. That's exactly right. That surcharge now will simply 17 be included in the delivery charge. 18 So, you could do it either way? Q. 19 You could do it either way. Α. 20 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. All right. 21 checking to see if I'm keeping up on this topic.

WITNESS FRINK: Good job.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Any redirect?

MR. FOSSUM: No, sir.

{DG 09-038} [Re: PHC/Temporary Rates] {04-21-09}

22

23

24

[WITNESS:

Frink

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Then, if there's --2 MS. PURCELL: I might have some redirect 3 for you, Mr. Getz, because --4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, let's get rid of 5 6 MS. PURCELL: If I could just clarify? 7 BY MS. PURCELL: 8 Isn't it true that the billing system does not permit Q. 9 them to do it? So, actually, they couldn't do it 10 either way. Would you agree with that? 11 Α. I would agree. The billing system -- It's our 12 understanding that the billing system can't make the 13 necessary adjustment to do the service rendered. I would also point out that the way the bills are read, 14 15 pretty much at the very end of the month, and so, in 16 essence, the -- well, actually, strike that. I won't 17 go into that. But the main point is the billing system does not -- there would have to be a substantial 18 19 investment and change in the billing system to allow 20 them to make a service rendered charge. 21 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Then, is there 23 anything further? 24 (No verbal response)

[WITNESS: Frinkl 1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, --2 MR. FOSSUM: Well, just one, one thing 3 very briefly about, and this is not for Mr. Frink, though, 4 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okav. Well, then, let's 6 excuse Mr. Frink. Okay. 7 WITNESS FRINK: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Fossum. 9 MR. FOSSUM: Because this is a combination prehearing conference/temporary rate thing, as 10 11 far as sort of prehearing conference related issue, as was 12 mentioned, we don't have a procedural schedule yet, but 13 we're looking to devise one fairly quickly. And, it is 14 not clear yet how that will be incorporated, if at all, 15 into the order on temporary rates, which would need to go 16 out within the next week or so to accommodate the request 17 from the Company. I think that was -- I just wanted to 18 bring that to the attention of the Commission. 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Purcell. 20 MS. PURCELL: Yes. I mean, just to

MS. PURCELL: Yes. I mean, just to follow up on that. I think what Mr. Fossum is saying is that we are requesting an order on temporary rates so quickly that, because we have not provided you with a draft procedural schedule or an agreed upon procedural

21

22

23

24

```
1
       schedule, we would propose that we work quickly to get to
 2
       provide an agreed upon procedural schedule, and, if it's
 3
       early enough for you to wrap it into the order on
 4
       temporary rates, that will be great. But, if not, we
 5
       might end up with two orders, one a procedural order and
 6
       one an order on temporary rates.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Well, let's take
 8
       care of a couple of other things then. Any objection to
 9
       striking the identifications and admitting the exhibits
10
       into evidence?
11
                         (No verbal response)
12
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection,
13
       they will be admitted into evidence. It sounds like we've
14
       pretty much had our closings. But is there any other
15
       procedural issues, other than the one that Mr. Fossum
16
       raised?
17
                         (No verbal response)
18
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then,
19
       Mr. Traum.
20
                         MR. TRAUM:
                                     Certainly. On rate
21
       continuity grounds, and based upon a preliminary review of
22
       the filing, the OCA does not object to temporary rates as
23
       requested. We do agree with Staff on the concept of a
24
      bill stuffer.
                      That's all.
                                   Thank you.
```

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Fossum. 2 MR. FOSSUM: I believe Mr. Frink has 3 very well stated the initial positions of Staff. 4 support the Company's request for temporary rates. And, 5 we'll hopefully get something out in the next bill to 6 notify the customers appropriately. And, look forward to 7 working with the Company on the larger issues in the 8 permanent rate case. 9 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Purcell. 10 11 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. The Company 12 appreciates the support of Staff and the OCA on the 13 temporary rates. And, we respectfully request approval to 14 take effect May 1st. And, since the level of temporary 15 rates of 69,995 is the amount that's already included in 16 bills, the temporary rates will have zero dollar impact on 17 customers. 18 The Company also requests approval of 19 its motion for waiver of the filing requirements, as 20 modified with respect to the customer notice requirements, 21 which the Company is agreeing to comply with. 22 Okay. CHAIRMAN GETZ: 23 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, we'll

```
1
       close this hearing and take the matter under advisement.
 2
       Thank you, everyone.
 3
                           (Whereupon the hearing ended at 3:16
 4
                          p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```